	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/737,549	LIN ET AL.	•
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey A. Smith	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to Amend. filed 6/30/05 a	ars on the cover sheet w. (OR REMAINS) CLOSED is or other appropriate comm GHTS. This application is and MPEP 1308.	n this application. If not includ junication will be mailed in due subject to withdrawal from issu	ed course. THIS
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-4 and 7-17</u> .	and Ex. runona, or or toroo	•	
3. The drawings filed on <u>18 December 2000</u> are accepted by			
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application	on No	tion from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file ENT of this application.	e a reply complying with the red	quirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	tted. Note the attached EX. s reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or N r declaration is deficient.	IOTICE OF
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspersor 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.4 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 	on's Patent Drawing Review Amendment / Comment of B4(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 Citist of BIOLOGICAL MAT	r in the Office action of he drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. N	
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No 3), 7. ☑ Examiner's	oformal Patent Application (PTC) ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo Application (PTC) Statement of Mail Date Application (PTC) Statement of Peasons for Allo Application (PTC) Application (PTC) Application (PTC) Application (PTC)	ŕ

Application/Control Number: 09/737,549 Page 2

Art Unit: 3625

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the Claims

Claim 12 has been amended to read as follows:

--12. The method of claim 1 further comprising an HDI back bone connecting supply chain data of a host server, supply chain data of up-, mid-, and downstream suppliers, and a hub.--

Application/Control Number: 09/737,549 Page 3

Art Unit: 3625

EXAMINER'S COMMENT

Applicant's Remarks filed June 30, 2005 (at page 8) indicate that Applicant has adopted the Examiner's suggested language for claim 12. Applicant's amendment to claim 12 (filed June 30, 2005) contained minor omissions to the Examiner's suggested language (see Office Action mailed April 6, 2005 at page 8), which the Examiner has herein corrected.

Art Unit: 3625

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 1

The prior art of record neither anticipates nor fairly and reasonably teaches a collaboration commerce trading method completing configure-to-order (CTO) production by ordering a commodity through a network and providing transmissions and responses of the ordering information, the method comprising, inter alia, the steps of: transmitting the commodity ordering information through the collaboration server to a plurality of enterprise resource planning (ERP) servers, which include the following recited modules (an their associated functions): the BOM module, the SO module, the WO module, and the PO module; wherein the plurality of ERP servers connect via an ERP back bone to: a SFCS server, an ATP server, and a strategy support server; and returning the customized table list for the customer to confirm.

Regarding claim 14

The prior art of record neither anticipates nor fairly and reasonably teaches a method completing configure-to-order (CTO)

production by ordering a commodity through a network and providing available to promise (ATP) transmissions and responses of the ordering information, the method comprising, inter alia, the steps of: obtaining supply information of a product from an enterprise resource planning (ERP) server, which includes the following recited modules (and their associated functions): the BOM module, the SO module, the WO module, and the PO module; wherein the ERP server connect via an ERP back bone to: a SFCS server, an ATP server, and a strategy support server; providing a confirmed sales order (SO) to the customer when the ATP server completes the supply/demand configuration; and estimating an ATP date tot the customer when the ATP server cannot complete the supply/demand configuration.

The most remarkable prior art of record is to Sharp (U.S. Patent No. 6,263,317)

Sharp, when taken alone or in combination, does not teach a work order module, automatically opening a WO, obtaining material configuration information from an ATP server, and generating an E-mail to the customer, checking the preparation status of materials. Moreover, Sharp, when taken alone or in combination, does not teach that an ERP server connects to an ERP back bone to: a shop floor control system (SFCS) server; an

Art Unit: 3625

available to promise (ATP) server; and a strategy support server.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-6763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on (571) 272-7159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,549 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey A. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

jas